IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA, PANAJI-GOA.

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 22 OF 2006

1. Shri Jose Luis Coelho Velho,
   son of late Aleixo Pitagoras Velho,
   married, of full age, landlord,
   residing at opposite new market,
   Gen. Bernardo Guedes Road,
   Panaji, Goa.

2. Smt. Loretta Dias Velho,
   wife of Shri Jose Luis Coelho Velho,
   of full age, retired Teacher,
   residing at opposite new market,
   Gen. Bernardo Guedes Road,
   Panaji, Goa.

3. Shri Oswaldo Jose Coelho Velho,
   son of late Aleixo Pitagoras Velho,
   married, of full age, landlord,
   residing at 1st floor, Velho Building,
   Dr. Domingos Roque D'Souza Road,
   Panaji, Goa. .... Applicants

Versus

1. Land Acquisition Officer,
   In the office of the Deputy Collector
   Panaji Sub Division, Panaji, Goa.

2. Director of Agriculture,
   Government of Goa,
   Panaji, Goa.

3. Shri Jose Virgilio Joaquim Coelho Velho,
   son of late Aleixo Pitagoras Velho,
   married, of full age, businessman and
   landlord, residing at opposite new Market,

Gen. Bernardo Guedes Road,
Panaji, Goa.

4. Mrs. Maria Veronica Aliana Dias Velho,
wife of Shri Jose Virgilio Joaquim Coelho
Velho, housewife, of full age,
residing at opposite new Market,
Gen. Bernardo Guedes Road,
Panaji, Goa. .... Respondents

Shri V.K. Bodke, Advocate for Applicants.
Ms. R. Chodankar, Addl. Govt. Advocate for Respondents No. 1& 2.
Shri A.D. Bhobe, Advocate for Respondents No. 3 & 4.

CORAM : **P.V. KAKADE, J.**

DATE : **6th November, 2006**

ORAL JUDGMENT:

Heard both sides.

2. Rule. Rule made returnable forthwith.

3. This is an application for correction of typographical mistake in the body of the judgment which was passed as an award in Land Acquisition Case No. 43/86.

4. The correction sought to be made in the award is to the effect that the notification under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act was in fact issued on 26/4/1979, however, inadvertently it is typed as 26/3/1981. Hence, the application for correction.

5. I have perused the Government gazetted notification which is annexed to the application. I have also perused other relevant records and there is no doubt whatsoever that such typographical mistake has occurred and hence, the application is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a). The award be suitably corrected giving the date of Section 4 notification under the Land Acquisition Act as 26/4/1979, deleting the date mentioned inadvertently as 26/3/1981.

6. With this direction, the application stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

P.V. KAKADE, J.

NH